Women Essayists and the Formation of Public Intellectual Culture in the Nineteenth Century

Farzana Amin

Adjunct Professor, The University of British Columbia, Canada

Email: farzana.amin@northernhealth.ca

I. Women of Letters and the Public Voice

The nineteenth century gave women writers new ways to enter public intellectual life. They did not always enter it through Parliament, universities, pulpits, learned societies, or official institutions, because many of those spaces remained closed to them. Instead, they entered through print. Essays, reviews, letters, lectures, memoirs, conduct books, travel writing, social criticism, and periodical articles became important forms through which women could speak to a public audience. The woman essayist was therefore not only a literary figure. She was also a thinker, observer, moral critic, and participant in public debate.

This public voice had earlier roots in Mary Wollstonecraft's *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman*. Wollstonecraft wrote before the main Victorian period, but her argument helped shape the nineteenth-century idea that women could speak as rational beings in matters of education, morality, law, and society. She refused to treat women as merely decorative or domestic creatures. Her argument was based on the claim that women possessed reason and therefore deserved serious education. As Wollstonecraft wrote,

> It is time to effect a revolution in female manners time to restore to them their lost dignity and make them, as a part of the human species, labour by reforming themselves to reform the world. It is time to separate unchangeable morals from local manners. (Wollstonecraft, 1792)

This passage is important because Wollstonecraft does not ask only for sympathy. She asks for reform. The word "revolution" gives her argument public force, but the revolution she imagines begins with education, dignity, reason, and self-command. Women are not presented as passive receivers of moral instruction. They are asked to become agents of reform themselves. This idea became central to later women essayists, who used prose not simply to express feeling, but to argue that women had a place in the moral and intellectual formation of society.

By the nineteenth century, the phrase "woman of letters" became increasingly important. It described a woman who lived by writing, but it also suggested a woman who belonged to the world of ideas. Such a figure could review books, discuss social institutions, comment on education, criticize literature, and address public questions. Victorian women writers had to negotiate both the ideals attached to authorship and the practical realities of the literary marketplace, including editors, publishers, payment, reputation, anonymity, and public reception (Peterson, 2009). They were not only private women writing from the home. They were professional authors working within a changing print economy.

This movement from private writing to public authorship changed the meaning of women's literary labor. Letters had long been associated with feminine expression, but in the nineteenth century the forms of women's writing expanded. A letter could become a printed document. A lecture could become a published essay. A review could influence public taste. A book of social observation could become a political argument. Women writers learned to use forms that seemed modest or familiar in order to enter debates that were much larger than the domestic world. Their public authority was often indirect, but it was real.

Margaret Fuller's *Woman in the Nineteenth Century* gave another strong form to this public voice. Fuller wrote from an American context, but her work belongs to the wider nineteenth-century debate about women's intellectual and social development. Like Wollstonecraft, Fuller argued that women should not be confined by inherited limits. Her language is bold because she imagines women not only as companions or moral influences, but as full human beings with many possible forms of action. As Fuller declared,

> We would have every arbitrary barrier thrown down. We would have every path laid open to Woman as freely as to Man.

> Were this done, and a slight temporary fermentation allowed to subside, we should see crystallizations more pure and of more various beauty. (Fuller, 2023)

This passage turns women's rights into a question of public possibility. Fuller does not speak only of one woman's private freedom. She imagines a social world changed by the removal of "arbitrary" barriers. The word "arbitrary" is important because it suggests that many limits placed on women were not natural, moral, or necessary. They were social arrangements that could be questioned. Fuller's prose therefore works as public reasoning: it invites readers to imagine society differently.

Women's entry into public intellectual culture was not simple. Even when women published, their authority was often doubted. A woman who wrote too boldly could be accused of losing feminine modesty. A woman who wrote too gently could be dismissed as weak or merely sentimental. This double pressure shaped the style of women's essays. Many women writers developed voices that combined moral seriousness with careful argument. They often used observation, experience, sympathy, and ethical judgment as sources of authority. These qualities allowed them to speak publicly while still moving within the gender expectations of their age.

The essay was especially useful for this purpose. It did not always require the heavy structure of a formal treatise. It could move between personal reflection, social criticism, literary judgment, and moral argument. It allowed the writer to sound thoughtful rather than dogmatic. For women, this flexibility was valuable. The essay could appear conversational and modest while still making strong claims. It could enter public debate without always announcing itself as political theory. In this way, the essay became one of the most important forms for women's public reasoning.

Reviews also gave women a path into intellectual culture. A review might seem to be only an opinion about a book, but in the nineteenth century it could become a wider judgment on society, morality, education, religion, and politics. Women reviewers could use the act of reading as a public act of thinking. They could discuss novels, histories, biographies, religious books, and social reform texts, while also shaping public standards of value. The woman reviewer was therefore not merely reporting taste. She was participating in the formation of public judgment.

Women's literary activity across the nineteenth century was much wider than poetry and fiction alone. Women worked as journalists, editors, translators, critics, biographers, educational writers, and public commentators (Shattock, 2001). This broader view is necessary because the history of women's writing becomes too narrow if it is limited to imaginative literature alone. The woman essayist belongs to a larger print culture in which women helped form public opinion through many kinds of prose.

Lectures also mattered because they gave women another way to move from private thought to public address. Some lectures were delivered in person; others circulated in print. In either form, the lecture gave women a voice of instruction. It allowed them to speak on education, religion, reform, ethics, and social duty. Like the essay, the lecture carried a mixture of authority and accessibility. It could be serious without being strictly academic. It could speak to ordinary readers and listeners, not only to specialists.

Social commentary gave women writers perhaps their strongest public role. Because women were often associated with moral feeling and domestic knowledge, they could use these associations to comment on poverty, slavery, education, charity, marriage, labor, and law. What seemed like "women's concerns" could become public issues. The household, the schoolroom, the sickroom, the prison, and the factory could all become subjects of intellectual debate. Women essayists showed that public culture was not made only in official institutions. It was also made through attention to everyday life.

The formation of women's public voice therefore depended on both opportunity and constraint. Women used the forms available to them, but they also changed those forms. They made the essay more observant, the review more ethical, the letter more public, and the lecture more reforming. Their writing did not simply ask to be admitted into an existing intellectual culture. It helped redefine what public intellectual culture could include. By bringing education, moral judgment, social observation, and literary criticism into public prose, women essayists made themselves necessary participants in nineteenth-century thought.

II. Periodical Anonymity and the Authority of the Essay

The nineteenth-century periodical gave women writers a difficult but powerful route into public debate. Many women could not easily claim authority in open public life, especially in fields such as politics, criticism, theology, and social reform. Yet the periodical press gave them a space where thought could circulate before the identity of the writer became fully visible. Anonymous and unsigned writing allowed women to enter arguments that might otherwise have been closed to them. It did not remove gender restrictions, but it helped women move around them.

Anonymity worked in two different ways. It could hide the woman writer from public attack, but it could also give her prose a more general authority. If readers did not immediately know the sex of the writer, they might judge the essay by its argument rather than by the gender of its author. This was especially important in reviews, where the writer often spoke with the voice of judgment. To review a book was to say what counted as good writing, clear thought, serious morality, or public truth. For women, this was not a small matter. Review writing allowed them to become makers of intellectual value.

Harriet Martineau's *Society in America* shows how a woman writer could use social observation as public argument. Martineau travelled, observed, compared institutions, and judged American democracy by its own declared principles. Her prose does not ask permission to speak. It examines society as if society were an object open to rational study. In her discussion of women's political condition, she writes with direct force:

> One of the fundamental principles announced in the Declaration of Independence is, that governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed. How can the political condition of women be reconciled with this? Governments in the United States have power to tax women who hold property; to divorce them from their husbands; to fine, imprison, and execute them for certain offences. (Martineau, 1837)

The passage shows the strength of the woman essayist as public critic. Martineau does not begin from private feeling alone. She begins from a public principle and then tests society against it. This is the authority of the essay: it can move from observation to judgment, from a legal fact to a moral question. Martineau's argument also shows how women writers could use nonfiction prose to expose contradictions in political language. A society could praise liberty while denying women consent, property, and representation.

George Eliot's career as a reviewer also shows the importance of periodical culture for women's intellectual authority. Before she became famous as a novelist, she wrote essays and reviews for serious journals. This mattered because the review essay gave her a public voice before the public fully knew her as "George Eliot." In "Woman in France: Madame de Sablé," Eliot used literary history to discuss women's conversation, salons, intelligence, and cultural influence. She observed that French women of earlier centuries had occupied a special space in literary and social life:

> And those delightful women of France, who from the beginning of the seventeenth to the close of the eighteenth century, formed some of the brightest threads in the web of political and literary history, wrote under circumstances which left the feminine character of their minds uncramped by timidity, and unstrained by mistaken effort. (Eliot, 1854)

This passage is important because Eliot does not treat female intellect as an exception or a curiosity. She presents women as part of "political and literary history." The image of "brightest threads" suggests that women helped weave public culture itself. At the same time, Eliot's phrase "uncramped by timidity" points to the social conditions that often limited women's minds. Female intellect could flourish when it was not pressed into fear, imitation, or artificial modesty.

Eliot's "Silly Novels by Lady Novelists" uses a sharper kind of authority. Here she criticizes weak novels written by women, not because she rejects women's writing, but because she wants women's writing to be taken seriously. The essay is witty, severe, and confident. It refuses the idea that women writers should be praised merely because they are women. Eliot writes:

> Silly novels by Lady Novelists are a genus with many species, determined by the particular quality of silliness that predominates in them the frothy, the prosy, the pious, or the pedantic. But it is a mixture of all these a composite order of feminine fatuity that produces the largest class of such novels. (Eliot, 2010)

The tone is harsh, but its purpose is not simple mockery. Eliot is claiming the right to judge. She treats women's authorship as a serious public matter and therefore refuses to protect poor writing from criticism. This is another form of female public authority. A woman critic could defend women's intellectual dignity by demanding better standards from women writers themselves. The essay shows that women's entry into print culture did not mean the abandonment of judgment. It meant the right to exercise judgment.

Periodical anonymity helped make such authority possible. Alexis Easley's work on women writers and Victorian print media shows how anonymous journalism allowed women to enter a male-dominated publishing world while managing the risks of exposure, reputation, and gendered criticism (Easley, 2017). A woman writer could use anonymity as a shield, but also as a mask that enlarged her voice. Behind the mask, she could speak as critic, observer, moralist, or political thinker. The anonymous essay therefore gave women a form of public presence that did not always require direct public visibility.

Yet anonymity also had costs. It could protect women, but it could also erase them. If the essay appeared without a name, the woman's intellectual labor could be absorbed into the authority of the journal, the editor, or the male-dominated world of periodical culture. Laurel Brake's work on journalism, gender, and literature is useful here because it reminds us that many forms of nineteenth-century knowledge were hidden, displaced, or made secondary within print culture (Brake, 1994). Women's writing could shape public debate while the woman writer herself remained difficult to see.

Frances Power Cobbe's career shows a later and more open form of this authority. Cobbe wrote essays, lectures, and reform arguments on women's duties, law, religion, and public responsibility. In *The Duties of Women*, she treated women not merely as private moral influences, but as ethical agents with social obligations (Cobbe, 2023). Her work shows how the authority first negotiated through essays and periodicals could become a more direct public voice. By the later nineteenth century, women were increasingly able to speak as named reformers, lecturers, and critics, though they still had to confront social resistance.

The essay was central to this whole process because it was flexible. It could hide behind anonymity or speak under a name. It could review a book, answer a public controversy, interpret a social custom, expose injustice, or define moral duty. It could appear modest in form while making serious claims. For women writers, this flexibility was especially valuable. It allowed them to take part in public thought without always needing the official authority denied to them by institutions.

Periodical anonymity, then, was not merely a technical feature of publication. It was part of the history of women's public thought. It shaped how women entered debate, how they protected themselves, how they built authority, and how their work was remembered or forgotten. Women essayists used the periodical press to make arguments about literature, society, law, education, and morality. Sometimes they spoke behind masks; sometimes they signed their names; sometimes their authority was recognized only later. But through essays and reviews, they helped create the very public culture that had once tried to keep them at its margins.

III. Rights, Reform, and Social Observation

Women essayists became important public critics because they learned to turn observation into argument. They wrote about slavery, education, marriage, law, charity, poverty, and women's duties not as private complaints, but as public questions. Their essays often began with things that could be seen: the condition of a household, the treatment of a wife, the suffering of the poor, the work of nurses, the education of girls, or the contradiction between a nation's ideals and its social practices. From these observations, they developed larger arguments about justice, duty, and reform.

Harriet Martineau's *How to Observe: Morals and Manners* is central to this tradition because it treated social life as something that could be studied carefully. Martineau did not present observation as casual looking. She understood it as a disciplined method. The observer had to avoid prejudice, compare evidence, and judge a society by principles larger than local custom. Her work helped give women's social commentary a serious intellectual form. It showed that a woman writer could study manners, morals, institutions, and daily life as evidence of public truth.

As Martineau explained,

> To test one people by another, is to argue within a very small segment of a circle; and the observer can only pass backwards and forwards at an equal distance from the point of truth. To test the morals and manners of a nation by a reference to the essentials of human happiness, is to strike at once to the centre. (Martineau, 1838)

This passage shows the strength of Martineau's method. She does not ask the observer to compare one society with another in a shallow way. She asks the observer to judge by "the essentials of human happiness." This phrase gives social observation a moral standard. The woman essayist, in this model, does not merely describe what she sees. She asks whether social customs support or damage human happiness. This is how observation becomes reform.

The same method could be applied to slavery. Martineau's writings on America examined the contradiction between democratic language and the reality of bondage. Slavery was not only a political problem for her. It was a moral test of a society's claims about liberty. Women essayists used this kind of contradiction as a powerful tool. They showed that public principles were often false when tested against actual lives. The enslaved person, the married woman, the poor child, and the dependent worker all became figures through whom the truth of a society could be measured.

Education was another important field of reform. Women essayists argued that the mind could not be divided by gender in the way society often assumed. If women were expected to raise children, guide households, support religion, and influence moral life, then denying them serious education was both unjust and irrational. Essays on education therefore often moved between private and public concerns. The education of a girl was not only a family matter. It shaped the moral and intellectual future of society. In this way, women's educational writing turned domestic responsibility into a public argument.

Marriage and law gave women essayists one of their sharpest subjects. Frances Power Cobbe's "Criminals, Idiots, Women, and Minors: Is the Classification Sound?" attacked the legal treatment of married women by exposing the injustice hidden inside ordinary law. The force of Cobbe's title lies in its irony. It places women beside groups treated as legally dependent or incapable, and then asks whether such a classification can be defended. Cobbe's argument showed that marriage law was not a private matter only. It shaped property, identity, freedom, and the legal existence of women (Cobbe, 1869).

This kind of legal criticism was powerful because it made the ordinary appear strange. Marriage had often been described as a natural and sacred institution. Cobbe asked readers to look at its legal effects. If a married woman lost control of property, wages, and legal standing, then marriage became not only a moral relationship but a structure of power. Women essayists made such structures visible. They translated legal injustice into public language and asked readers to judge it.

Anna Jameson's *Sisters of Charity, Catholic and Protestant, Abroad and at Home* approached reform from another direction. Jameson wrote about charity, service, nursing, and organized women's work. Her interest was not only sentimental. She wanted to show that women's compassion could become trained public labor. Charity was not merely a private virtue. It could become a social vocation. In this sense, Jameson helped connect women's moral feeling with institutional action.

As Jameson stated at the beginning of her lecture,

> The subject on which I venture to address you is one which will find an interest in every kind heart. It is also one of incalculable social importance. I am to discourse to you of Sisters of Charity, not merely as the designation of a particular order of religious women, belonging to a particular church, but also in a far more comprehensive sense. (Jameson, 1855)

This passage shows how Jameson turns charity into a public subject. She begins with feeling, but she does not remain there. The phrase "incalculable social importance" lifts the subject from private kindness to social organization. Jameson's "Sisters of Charity" are not only religious women in a narrow sense. They become a model for women's public usefulness across class, country, and creed.

Women's magazines and periodicals also helped spread these debates. They created spaces where domestic life, fashion, religion, fiction, reform, and public opinion could appear side by side. Margaret Beetham's work on women's magazines shows that such publications were never only light domestic reading; they also shaped ideas of femininity, desire, duty, and public identity (Beetham, 2003). This is important because women's public culture often grew from forms that looked private or domestic. The magazine could discuss household life while also forming opinions about education, charity, marriage, and work.

Gender and periodical culture were closely connected. The periodical press did not simply reflect gender roles; it helped produce and question them. Studies of the Victorian periodical show that women appeared in print as readers, writers, editors, subjects, and critics within a changing public culture (Chernock A, 2005). This made periodicals especially important for reform writing. They allowed women's concerns to circulate repeatedly, not as isolated arguments but as part of a wider conversation. A question raised in one essay could return in reviews, letters, reports, and lectures.

The power of women's reform writing lay in its ability to connect feeling with reason. Women essayists often began from sympathy, but they did not stop with sympathy. They used it as an opening into public judgment. Slavery required more than pity; it required criticism of law and custom. Education required more than affection for girls; it required a theory of intellectual equality. Charity required more than kindness; it required organization and trained work. Marriage required more than moral praise; it required legal justice.

In this way, women essayists changed the meaning of social observation. They showed that to observe society carefully was to uncover its hidden arrangements of power. The home, the school, the marriage contract, the charitable institution, and the magazine page all became sites of public thought. Women's essays made reform readable by linking everyday scenes with larger principles. Through this work, women did not merely comment on nineteenth-century public culture. They helped teach that culture how to see itself.

IV. Moral Judgment and the Female Public Intellectual

Women essayists shaped nineteenth-century public intellectual culture by giving moral judgment a public form. They did not always speak from official positions, but they spoke through essays, reviews, lectures, pamphlets, and social criticism. Their authority came from the ability to judge the moral meaning of public life. They asked whether law was just, whether education was equal, whether literature strengthened or weakened thought, whether marriage protected women or reduced them to dependence, and whether society's moral language matched its actual treatment of women. In this sense, the woman essayist became a public intellectual not by leaving morality behind, but by turning morality into argument.

Margaret Fuller's *Woman in the Nineteenth Century* is important because it shows how the female public intellectual could speak in a language of possibility. Fuller did not ask only for small reforms. She questioned the barriers that limited women's development and asked readers to imagine a society in which women could unfold their powers freely. As she wrote,

> We would have every arbitrary barrier thrown down. We would have every path laid open to Woman as freely as to Man. Were this done, and a slight temporary fermentation allowed to subside, we should see crystallizations more pure and of more various beauty. (Fuller, 2023)

This passage gives public force to women's intellectual freedom. Fuller does not define women by one fixed duty or one narrow role. She imagines variety, growth, and "more various beauty." The sentence is reformist because it attacks "arbitrary" barriers, but it is also philosophical because it asks what human beings might become if social limits were removed. Her essay therefore helps form the idea of the woman as a public thinker: someone who can reason about society, not merely suffer within it.

George Eliot's critical essays show another side of this authority. Eliot did not defend women's writing by praising it without judgment. Instead, she insisted that women writers deserved serious standards. In "Silly Novels by Lady Novelists," her tone is severe, but the severity has a purpose. She refuses to let weak writing stand as the public image of women's intellect. She writes,

> Silly novels by Lady Novelists are a genus with many species, determined by the particular quality of silliness that predominates in them the frothy, the prosy, the pious, or the pedantic. But it is a mixture of all these a composite order of feminine fatuity that produces the largest class of such novels. (Eliot, 2010)

The sharpness of the passage shows Eliot claiming the critic's right to judge. She is not speaking as a polite admirer of women's authorship. She is speaking as a public critic who believes that women's writing must be intellectually honest, artistically serious, and morally responsible. This is a difficult but important form of female authority. Eliot defends women's place in literature by refusing to make gender an excuse for poor thought. Her essay shows that moral judgment includes criticism of one's own side when necessary.

Frances Power Cobbe's writing made moral judgment even more directly political. In "Criminals, Idiots, Women, and Minors," Cobbe exposed the injustice of laws that treated married women as legally dependent beings (Cobbe, 1869). Her title itself works like an argument. By placing women beside groups denied full legal independence, she forced readers to see the insult hidden inside ordinary law. Marriage, in her analysis, was not only a private relationship. It was also a legal structure that could remove women's control over property, wages, and public identity. Cobbe's essay therefore turned domestic life into a question of justice.

Cobbe's *The Duties of Women* also shows how women essayists used moral language without accepting passivity. The word "duties" might seem conservative, but Cobbe used it to enlarge women's public responsibility rather than to confine them to obedience (Cobbe, 2023). She argued that women had ethical obligations beyond the household and that moral life required public action. This is important because many nineteenth-century debates tried to limit women by praising their moral influence. Cobbe accepted the importance of morality but redirected it outward. If women were moral beings, then they had the right and duty to act in society.

The female public intellectual therefore often worked through a double movement. She used the moral language available to women, but she changed its meaning. Society often said that women were naturally moral, sympathetic, and domestic. Women essayists answered that if this were true, then women must be heard on slavery, education, poverty, marriage, charity, law, and public duty. Moral feeling could not remain silent. Sympathy had to become criticism. Domestic knowledge had to become social analysis. The woman's conscience had to become a public voice.

Mary Poovey's account of gender in mid-Victorian culture helps explain why this movement was so important. Victorian gender ideology often separated public and private life, giving men the world of law, politics, and commerce while assigning women to the home and moral influence (Poovey, 1988). Women essayists worked inside this division, but they also disturbed it. They showed that the home was shaped by law, that marriage was shaped by property, that education was shaped by public policy, and that morality could not be kept outside politics. Their essays revealed that the so-called private world was already public in its causes and consequences.

This is why the essay form mattered so much. The essay allowed women to move between personal experience and general principle. It could begin with a book, a law, a social custom, or a scene of suffering, and then widen into public reasoning. A novel review could become a statement about women's education. A lecture on duties could become a claim for civic responsibility. A discussion of marriage law could become a criticism of the whole legal structure of gender. The essay gave women a flexible form in which moral observation could become intellectual authority.

The woman essayist also changed the meaning of criticism itself. Criticism was not only the judgment of books. It became the judgment of society. Eliot judged literary weakness because she cared about the public dignity of women's minds.

Cobbe judged law because she cared about moral justice. Fuller judged social barriers because she cared about human development. In each case, criticism was constructive as well as negative. It exposed failure in order to imagine better forms of life.

This work helped create public intellectual culture because it trained readers to think morally about public questions. Women essayists did not merely add women's topics to an already complete public sphere. They changed the subjects that counted as public. Marriage, education, charity, authorship, domestic authority, and women's legal identity all became matters of intellectual debate. Their writing showed that public culture was not formed only by speeches in Parliament or arguments in universities. It was also formed in essays, reviews, pamphlets, lectures, and periodicals.

The female public intellectual emerged from this world as a figure of argument, judgment, and reform. She did not always have institutional power, but she had interpretive power. She could read society, expose contradiction, and give moral shape to public debate. Fuller opened paths, Eliot demanded standards, Cobbe challenged law, and later criticism has helped us see how deeply gender shaped the public world they entered and transformed. Through such writing, women essayists did more than claim a place in nineteenth-century intellectual culture. They helped define its moral responsibilities.

References

Beetham, Margaret. A magazine of her own?: domesticity and desire in the woman's magazine, 1800-1914. Routledge, 2003.

Brake, Laurel. Subjugated knowledges: Journalism, gender and literature, in the nineteenth century. Springer, 1994.

Chernock, Arianne. "Hilary Fraser, Stephanie Green, and Judith Johnston. Gender and the Victorian Periodical. Cambridge Studies in Nineteenth-Century Literature and Culture. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003. Pp. 255. $75.00 (cloth)." Journal of British Studies 44.4 (2005): 876-878.

Cobbe, F. P. (2023). The Duties of Women: A Course of Lectures. In Nineteenth-Century Interiors (pp. 355-359). Routledge.

Cobbe, Frances Power. "Criminals, Idiots, Women, and Minors." Fraser's Magazine 78 (1868): 777-94.

Easley, Alexis. First-person anonymous: women writers and Victorian print media, 1830–1870. Routledge, 2017.

Eliot, George. "Woman in France: Madame de Sablé." Westminster Review 62.122 (1854): 448-73.

Eliot, George. Silly novels by lady novelists. Penguin UK, 2010.

Jameson, Mrs. Sisters of Charity, Catholic and Protestant, abroad and at home. Longman, Brown, Green & Longmans, 1855.

Margaret, Fuller. Woman in the Nineteenth Century. BoD-Books on Demand, 2023.

Martineau, Harriet. "How to Observe Morals and Manners." Charles Knight (1838).

Martineau, Harriet. Society in America: In Two Volumes. Vol. 2. Saunders and Otley, 1837.

Peterson, Linda H. Becoming a woman of letters: myths of authorship and facts of the Victorian market. Princeton University Press, 2009.

Poovey, Mary. Uneven developments: The ideological work of gender in mid-Victorian England. University of Chicago Press, 2009.

Shattock, Joanne, ed. Women and literature in Britain 1800-1900. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

Wollstonecraft, Mary. A Vindication Dof the Rights of Woman: With Structures on Political and Moral Subjects. J. Johnson, 1792.

* * *